## ROUTING SLIP CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

	STATE OF THE STATE	
Originating Department: Projects Managem	ent Council	Meeting Date: 12/18/2014
Department Contact: Chris O'Neil	Phone #	256-427-5281
Contract or Agreement: Agreement		
Document Name: LED Lighting Conversions	- Change Order #1 and F	INAL
City Obligation Amount:	-\$72,110.75	
Total Project Budget:		
Uncommitted Account Balance;		
Account Number: 23-	-7400-0301-8603	
<u>Select</u> Gran	nt-Funded Agree	<u>Select</u> ments
Gran		
Select	Grant Name:	
Department //	Signature	Date /
1) Originating	& helf	12/00/2014 XT
2) Legal MA	aux Cates	128/14
3) Finance	Man-A	12/7
4) Originating		
5) Copy Distribution	V	
a. Mayor's office (1 copies)		
b. Clerk-Treasurer	THE SUBSE	

(Original & 2 copies)

## CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM COVER MEMO

	Agenda Item Number
Meeting Type: Regular	Meeting Date: 12/18/2014
Action Requested By: Projects Management	Agenda Item Type Resolution
Subject Matter:	
Resolution Authorizing the Mayor to execute Chabetween the City of Huntsville and Jake Marshall located at various locations in the city.	ange Order #1 and Final to the agreement Services, Inc. for LED Lighting Conversions
Exact Wording for the Agenda:	
Resolution Authorizing the Mayor to execute Chabetween the City of Huntsville and Jake Marshall located at various locations in the city.	inge Order #1 and Final to the agreement Services, Inc. for LED Lighting Conversions
	9
	₩
Note: If amendment, please state title and n	number of the original
Item to be considered for: <u>Action</u> Unanin	nous Consent Required: No
Briefly state why the action is required; why it is provide, allow and accomplish and; any other information that r	
Negative Change Order #1 and Final. There were lights as well as additional locations that were re-	e locations that were not retrofitted with LED
Associated Cost: \$-72,110.75	Budgeted Item: Yes
MAYOR RECOMMENDS OR CONCURS: Select	
Department Head:	Date: 12/10/14
evised 3/12/2012	

### RESOLUTION NO. 2014-

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Huntsville, Alabama, and the Mayor that the contract with Jake Marshall Services, Inc., for LED Lighting Conversion at various city facilities, approved and executed by the City of Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014, be and the same is hereby amended as is reflected on Change Order Number land Final attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the total contract amount be hereby is amended from Seven Hundred Then Thousand Two Hundred Thirty Three Dollars and 94/100s (\$710,233.94) to Six Hundred Thirty Eight Thousand One Hundred Twenty Three Dollars and 19/100s (\$638,123.19), including this Change Order Number 1 and Final in the amount of Seventy Two Thousand One Hundred Ten Dollars and 75/100s (\$-72,110.75), said Change Order is substantially in word and figures as attached hereto and identified as "Change Order Number 1 and Final" to the contract between City of Huntsville and Jake Marshall Services, Inc. for LED Lighting Conversion at various city facilities consisting of eighteen pages (18) together with the signature of the City Council President and an executed copy of said document being permanently kept on file in the office of the City Clerk Treasurer of the City of Huntsville, Alabama.

ADOPTED	this	the _	day of, 2014	•
			President of the City Council of The City of Huntsville, Alabama	
			3	
ADOPTED	this	the	, day of, 2014	•
			Mayor of the City of Huntsville Alabama	<del></del>

## CITY OF HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER	CHANGE ORDER NO1
DATE: 12/18/2014	PROJECT: LED Lighting Conversion
TO: Jake Marshall Services, Inc.	AND ASSETTING CONVENTION
(Cont	ractor)
TERMS: You are hereby authorized, subject to the provisions of changes thereto in accordance with the attached Chang	e Order Request and supporting documents and to
FURNISH the necessary labor, materials and equipment to 1) A not retrofitted	<u> </u>
(Description of work to be o	done or changes to be made)
TOTAL ADDITION OR DEDUCTION TO CONTRACT PRICE For this Change \$\$-\$72,110.75  ORIGINAL CONTRACT PRICE Net total previous Change Orders Previous revised Contract Price This Change Order No1 ADD (DEDUCT) Revised Contract Price this date	E (NOTE: Numbers in parentheses are deductions).  \$\frac{72,110.75}{710,233.94} \$\frac{0}{5} \$\frac{0}{72,110.75} \$\frac{638,123.19}{638,123.19}
Extension of time resulting from this Change Order0	(Indicate no. of calendar days).
The amount of this Change Order will be the responsibility of	City of Huntsville .
This contract modification constitutes full and mutual accord and acceptance of this Contract Modification, the Contractor hereby a to the Contract, and further, agrees to waive all right to fie any fat change, or the accumulation of executed Contract Modifications of	grees that the modification represents an equitable adjustment
The Contractor and Owner(s) hereby agree to the terms of this Ch CONSENT OF SURETY	nange Order as contained herein. CONTRACTING PARTIES
Company)	(Contractor)
By(Authorized Representative) RECOMMENDED	By All Shul Shuce (Authorized Representative) CNY OF HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA
By(Design Employer or Architect)	(Its Mayor)
(QOY/Facil/fes Project Manager)	President of City Council)
By (Director of General Services)	Date

ADDITIONAL LED LIGHTING LOCATIONS		Amount
Natatorium	\$	115.00
Berachah Recreation Center	\$	1,725.00
Scurggs Center	\$	6,840.00
Dr. Richard Showers Center	\$	5,300.00
Max Luther Recreation Center	\$	2,760.00
Fire Station #4	\$	300.00
Fire Station #11	\$	402.50
Fire Station #15	\$	485.00
New Fire Station #1	\$	1,500.00
Animal Control	\$	1,200.00
West Police Precinct	\$	18,978.00
North Public Safety Complex	\$	24,097.31
Calvary Hill Center Additional Bulbs	\$	2,367.00
Calvary Hill Center bulb burn out	\$	2,069.00
Municipal Justice Conference Room	\$	468.00
Lakewood Fixture Repair	\$	2,061.00
Municipal Justice Crime Lab	\$	121.00
	$\Box$	
		,
		<del></del>
Total After Original Contract Location Billings	\$	(142,899.56)
LED Contract Credit	\$	(72,110.75)
		•
(5)		

DATE:11/5/		MODIFICATION BER:	
PROJECT: I	ED Lighting Proje	ect 602 Natatorium	
OWNER:	City of Huntsville	ot 002 I library luin	
ARCHITECT:			
CONTRACTOR: _J	ake Marshali Servi	ice, Inc.	
DESCRIPTION OF	CHANGE (BY G	ENERAL CONTRACTOR):	
		<del> </del>	
1 INTERTACED	DV.		
1. INITIATED	Bob Ratas	rick	
2. OWNERS C		(Check Appropriate Item):	
		( chook 1-pproprime 10m.)	
CON	TRACT MODIFIC		
·		GINEERING OR COST REI	
<u>x</u>		IN PROJECT SCOPE OF	WORK
	CHANGE OF	EDER EN CONDITIONS	
-		COORDINATION	
		COORDINATION	
	NGE IS SUBMIT		APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED
	MIN	OR CHANGE OF A MONETAR	Y VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND
x	NOT	REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIV	VE BIDDING.
			VELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO
			ESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE
-			NG THE COURSE OF THE WORK. THE COURSE OF THE WORK.
44			NATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE
			RE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF
			HE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE
		ERNATE	
			OR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED
			ICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND
		DOES NOT EXCEED 10% O	HICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
		DOES NOT EXCEED 1070 OF	THE CONTRACT PRICE.
4. TOTAL COST (C	ONTRACTOR A	TTACH DETAIL BREAKD	OWN): \$115
5. TIME EXTENSIO		·	0
6. REVIEWED AND		D BY:	
	HITECT		Poh Dotosial
B. OWN 7. APPROVED BY:	1ers froject h	REPRESENTATIVE	Bob Ratagick
	OR: Jake Marsha	ill Service, Inc.	Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMBER: \_\_\_\_ PROJECT: LED Lighting Project 606 Berachah Rec Center City of Huntsville OWNER: ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION 3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND X NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$1,725.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: A. **ARCHITECT** B. OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. **Patrick Curtis** 

# "EXHIBIT A" MODIFICATION

DATE:11/	CONTRACT 4/2014 NUMI	MODIFICATION BER:	REQUEST
PROJECT:	LED Lighting Project	et 608 Scruggs Center	
_	City of Huntsville	or our aggs contain	
ARCHITECT:			
CONTRACTOR:	Jake Marshall Service	ce, Inc.	
DESCRIPTION OF	F CHANGE (BY GE	ENERAL CONTRACTOR):	
	<del></del>	<u> </u>	
1. INITIATEI	BY:		
	Bob Ratagi	ick	
2. OWNERS	CLASSIFICATION	(Check Appropriate Item):	
co	NTRACT MODIFIC	CATION	
		INEERING OR COST REI	DUCTION
	<b>K</b> CHANGES I	N PROJECT SCOPE OF	
	CHANGE ORI		
-		N CONDITIONS COORDINATION	
	IECHNICAL	COORDINATION	
3. THIS CHA	F THE FOLLOWING MINO NOT F	NG TYPES: R CHANGE OF A MONETAR REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIN	Y VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND EBIDDING. VELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO
=	THE CIRCU	ORIGINAL CONTRACT NEC JMSTANCES ARISING DURII GENCIES ARISING DURING	ESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE NG THE COURSE OF THE WORK. THE COURSE OF THE WORK. IATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE
	ORIGI THE (	NAL BIDDING WHERE THEF	E IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF E ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE
	WHEN THE P	THE PLANS AND SPECIFI	PR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED CATIONS WERE PREPARED AND ICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST THE CONTRACT PRICE.
		18 L	
4. TOTAL COST (C 5. TIME EXTENSIO	CONTRACTOR AT	TACH DETAIL BREAKDO	WN): \$6,840.00
6. REVIEWED AND			
	CHITECT		
	NERS PROJECT RE	PRESENTATIVE	Bob Ratagick
7. APPROVED BY:	OD: Take Manchall	Comice Tire	D.A. I. C.
CONTRACT	OR: Jake Marshall	Service, inc.	Patrick Curtis

<b>DATE:</b> 1	1/5/2014 NUMBER:	UESI
PROJECT:	LED Lighting Project 625 Dr Richard Showers Center	
OWNER:	City of Huntsville	
ARCHITECT:		
CONTRACTOR:	Jake Marshall Service, Inc.	
DESCRIPTION (	OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):	
1. INITIATE	D BY:	
	Bob Ratagick	
2. OWNERS	CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):	
_		
C	ONTRACT MODIFICATION	
	VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION	
_	x CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WOL	RK
	CHANGE ORDER	
	UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS	
	TECHNICAL COORDINATION	
AS ONE	MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE  NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDE  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY M  THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITAR  CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE CONTRACT OF ALTERNATES  ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NOTHE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NOTHE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERM  WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATION  THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE ORIGINAL BID DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE ORIGINAL BID AND WHICH IS AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE ORIGINAL BID AND BID AND WHICH IS AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE ORIGINAL BID AND BID	UE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND DING. INOR AND INCIDENTAL TO TED BY UNFORESEABLE COURSE OF THE WORK. DURSE OF THE WORK. PROVIDED FOR IN THE DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF GINAL BEST BID ON THE DISTRICT ON
5. TIME EXTENS 6. REVIEWED AN A. AI B. OV 7. APPROVED BY		Bob Ratagick
CONTRAC	CTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc.	Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: \_\_\_\_\_11/5/2014 NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ LED Lighting Project # 628 Max Luther Rec Center PROJECT: City of Huntsville OWNER: ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshail Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION x CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS **TECHNICAL COORDINATION** THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED 3. AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND Х \_\_\_ NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$2,760.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: ARCHITECT OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT

MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: \_\_\_\_\_11/4/2014 NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ PROJECT: LED Lighting Project # 104 Fire Station # 4 OWNER: City of Huntsville ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS **TECHNICAL COORDINATION** THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED 3. AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. X CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$300 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: **ARCHITECT** OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

# "EXHIBIT A" CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST

DATE:	11/5/2014	NUMBER:	
PROJECT:	LED Light	ing Project # 111 Fire Station # 11	
OWNER:	City of Hu		<del> </del>
ARCHITECT		11071116	
CONTRACTO	OR: Jake Mars	hall Service, Inc.	
		E ( BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):	
	<del></del>		
1. INIT	ATED BY:		
	_1	Bob Ratagick	
2. OWN	ERS CLASSIFIC	CATION (Check Appropriate Item):	
		MODIFICATION	
		UE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  NGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WOR	
		NGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WOR	K
		ORESEEN CONDITIONS	
		HNICAL COORDINATION	
		1) [[6]	
3. THIS AS O	CHANGE IS S NE OF THE FO	SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROV	AL AND IS CLASSIFIED
	v	MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALU	E LESS THAN \$15,000 AND
	<u> </u>	NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDD: CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MI	
		THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITAT	
		CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE	COURSE OF THE WORK.
		EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE CO	URSE OF THE WORK.
		CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES	
		ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO	
		THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIG	INAL BEST BID ON THE
•	<del>-</del>	CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERM	AS NOT CONTEMP ATED
		WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATION	IS WERE PREPARED AND
		THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS	N THE PUBLIC INTEREST
_		AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CO	
4 TOTAL CO	PT / CONTINAC	TOD APPLOUI DEPAIL DELL'EQUED.	9400 50
		TOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): LENDAR DAYS:	\$402.50
	AND RECOM		
A.		tende teradar ar a t	
В.		JECT REPRESENTATIVE	Bob Ratagick
7. APPROVED	BY:		<u> </u>
CONT	RACTOR: Jake	Marshall Service, Inc.	Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT

MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMBER: \_\_\_\_ PROJECT: LED Lighting Project # 115 Fire Station # 15 OWNER: City of Huntsville ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: **Bob Ratagick** 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** \_ UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS **TECHNICAL COORDINATION** 3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. X CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$485.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: A. . **ARCHITECT** B. OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMBER: PROJECT: LED Lighting Project # 121 Fire Station # 1 OWNER: City of Huntsville ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY **Bob Ratagick** 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION 3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND X NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$1,500.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: **ARCHITECT** A. B. OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMBER: PROJECT: LED Lighting Project # 135 Animal Control OWNER: City of Huntsville ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): **CONTRACT MODIFICATION** \_ VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION x CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS **TECHNICAL COORDINATION** THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED 3. AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. X CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$1,200.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: A. ARCHITECT OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

1. INITIATED BY:  Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	<b>DATE:</b> 1	CONTR 1/5/2014	RACT NUMB	MODIFICER:	CATION	REQU	EST
OWNER: ARCHITECT: Jake Marshall Service, Inc.  DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):  1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FOR MICH THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OR RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	PROTECT:	I FD Lightin	o Droine	4 # 140 Wast Due	and and		
ARCHITECT:  ONTRACTOR:  Jake Marshall Service, Inc.  DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):   1. INITIATED BY:  Bob Ratagick  2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE SIN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PLEAST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:			ig Frojec	t# 149 West Pro	eenet		
CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc.  DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):  1. INITIATED BY:  Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERED IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ALTERNATE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:		City of Hant	SVIHE				
1. INITIATED BY:  Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:		Jake Marsh	all Service	e Inc	<del></del>		
1. INITIATED BY:  Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	DESCRIPTION (	OF CHANGE	(RV CE	NERAL CONT	RACTOR).	<del></del>	
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING,  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:			( 22 02	VERNE CONT	escroto.		
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING,  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	1 Thister A res	DEN DING					
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):  CONTRACT MODIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE OF ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	1. INITIATI		ah Dataat	al.	70		
CONTRACT MODIFICATION  VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK  CHANGE ORDER  UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS  TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	2 OWNED		ATION	Chook Ammoon	into Itam ) .		
VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	Z. OWNERS	CLASSIFICA	AHON	Check Appropr	iate item):		
VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION  X CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	C	ONTRACT M	ODIFIC	ATION	0		
Z CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	_				COSTRE	DUCTION	,
CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	~						
TECHNICAL COORDINATION  3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:		UNFO	RESEEN	CONDITIO	NS		
AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:		ТЕСН	NICAL (	COORDINATIO	ON II		
AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:  MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:					TEW AND A	APPROVA	AL AND IS CLASSIFIED
NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:			MINO	R CHANGE OF	A MONETAR	Y VALÚE	E LESS THAN \$15,000 AND
THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:			CHAN	GES FOR MAT	TER RELATIV	VELY MIN	OR AND INCIDENTAL TO
EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:		<del></del>					
THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
ALTERNATE  CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:					SK FROM TH	HE OKIGI	NAL BEST BID ON THE
WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	<del></del>	<del></del>			TOTAL MARKE	OD TEDM	C NOT CONTEND ATER
THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN):  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS;  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE.  4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00  5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS;  6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$18,978.00 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:							
5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	<del> </del>		14114	OLO MOT EAK		· IIID CO	MIRACI I RICE.
5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:				51			
6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:	4. TOTAL COST (	CONTRACT	OR AT	TACH DETAI	L BREAKDO	OWN):	\$18,978.00
	5. TIME EXTENS	ION IN CAL	ENDAR	DAYS:		•	
A A D CHIEDRON			IENDED	BY:			
<del></del>		RCHITECT			S		
B. OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick			IECT RE	PRESENTATI	V <u>E</u>		Bob Ratagick
7. APPROVED BY :  CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc.  Patrick Curtis			Mauchall	Camilao Inc	-		Details Countie

DATE:1	CONTRACT 1/5/2014 NUM	MODIFICATION BER:	REQUEST
PROJECT:	LED Lighting Proje	ct # 150 North Precinct	
OWNER:	City of Huntsville		
ARCHITECT:			
CONTRACTOR:	Jake Marshall Servi	ce, Inc.	,
DESCRIPTION (	OF CHANGE (BY G)	ENERAL CONTRACTOR):	
<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. INITIATI		5.1.	
2. OWNERS	Bob Ratag	(Check Appropriate Item):	
Z. OWILERS	CLASSIFICATION	(Check Appropriate Item):	
C	ONTRACT MODIFIC	CATION	
		INEERING OR COST RE	DUCTION
	x CHANGES	IN PROJECT SCOPE O	F WORK
	CHANGE OR		
-		N CONDITIONS	
	TECHNICAL	COORDINATION	
3. THIS CH AS ONE	OF THE FOLLOWI	NG TYPES:	APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED  RY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND
	NOT CHAI	REQUIRED FOR COMPETITI NGES FOR MATTER RELATI	
	CIRC	UMSTANCES ARISING DURI	NG THE COURSE OF THE WORK. THE COURSE OF THE WORK.
,			NATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE
	ORIG	INAL BIDDING WHERE THE	RE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF HE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE
		RNATE	
			OR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED
			ICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND
			HICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
	AND	DOES NOT EXCEED 10% O	FIHE CONTRACT PRICE.
		TACH DETAIL BREAKD	OWN): \$24,097.31
	ION IN CALENDAR		
	ID RECOMMENDED	BY:	
	RCHITECT	o dia la Calabra de Arres dia	The latter of a - 2 - 2
7. APPROVED BY	WNERS PROJECT R	erredentative _	Bob Ratagick
	· CTOR: Jake Marshal	l Service, Inc.	Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT MODIFICATION REQUEST DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMBER: PROJECT: LED Lighting Project # 607 Cavalry Hill Center City of Huntsville OWNER: ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): **CONTRACT MODIFICATION** \_\_\_ VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION **X** CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK **CHANGE ORDER** UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED 3. AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING. Х CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE **ALTERNATE** CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$2,069 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: ARCHITECT OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

MODIFICATION REQUEST

CONTRACT

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_11/5/2014 NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ LED Lighting Project # 134 MJPSC PROJECT: OWNER: City of Huntsville ARCHITECT: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR): 1. INITIATED BY: Bob Ratagick 2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item): **CONTRACT MODIFICATION** \_\_\_ VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE ORDER UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION 3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES: MINOR CHANGE OF A MONETARY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND NOT REQUIRED FOR COMPETITIVE BIDDING.  $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ CHANGES FOR MATTER RELATIVELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NECESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK. CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF THE CHANGE ORDER FROM THE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE ALTERNATE CHANGE OF RELATIVELY MINOR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED WHEN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND THE PROJECT WAS BID AND WHICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST AND DOES NOT EXCEED 10% OF THE CONTRACT PRICE. 4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$468 5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS: 6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY: A. **ARCHITECT** OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE Bob Ratagick 7. APPROVED BY: CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc. Patrick Curtis

CONTRACT DATE: 11/5/2014 NUMI	MODIFICATION BER:	REQUEST
PROJECT: LED Lighting Project	4 # 605 I alama al Carta	_
OWNER: City of Huntsville	ct # 605 Lakewood Center	
ARCHITECT:		
CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service	ce. Inc.	
DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GE		
	<u> </u>	
	9 9 9	<del> </del>
1. INITIATED BY:		
Bob Ratagi	ick	
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION		
	( omen's sproprime nom ).	
CONTRACT MODIFIC	CATION	
	INEERING OR COST RE	
	N PROJECT SCOPE OF	F WORK
CHANGE OR		
<del></del>	N CONDITIONS	
TECHNICAL	COORDINATION	
AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING  MINO  X  NOT F  CHAN  THE C  CIRCU  EMER  CHAN	NG TYPES:  OR CHANGE OF A MONETAR REQUIRED FOR COMPETITI GES FOR MATTER RELATIVE ORIGINAL CONTRACT NEO JMSTANCES ARISING DURING GENCIES ARISING DURING IGE ORDER FOR ALTERI	APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED  RY VALUE LESS THAN \$15,000 AND VE BIDDING. VELY MINOR AND INCIDENTAL TO DESSITATED BY UNFORESEEABLE NG THE COURSE OF THE WORK. THE COURSE OF THE WORK. NATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE RE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF
THE (	CHANGE ORDER FROM TI	HE ORIGINAL BEST BID ON THE
CHAN WHEN THE P	GE OF RELATIVELY MING THE PLANS AND SPECIF	OR TERMS NOT CONTEMPLATED ICATIONS WERE PREPARED AND HICH IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST THE CONTRACT PRICE.
4 707 17 0077		
4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR AT	TACH DETAIL BREAKDO	OWN): _\$2,061.00
5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR		
6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED A. ARCHITECT	BY:	
B. OWNERS PROJECT RE	DDECENTATISTE	The half and a second
7. APPROVED BY:	ARESENIALIVE	Bob Ratagick
CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall	Service, Inc.	Patrick Curtis

<b>DATE:</b> 1		ACT MODIFICATI		EST
PROJECT:	LED Lightin	g Project # 134 MJPSC		
OWNER:	City of Hunt	ville		<u>-</u> -
ARCHITECT:				
CONTRACTOR: Jake Marshall Service, Inc.				
DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE (BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR):				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				· · · · · ·
<del></del>				<del></del>
		-		
1. INITIAT	ED BY:			
Bob Ratagick				
2. OWNERS CLASSIFICATION (Check Appropriate Item):				
CONTRACT MODIFICATION				
VALUE ENGINEERING OR COST REDUCTION				
x CHANGES IN PROJECT SCOPE OF WORK				
CHANGE ORDER				
UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS TECHNICAL COORDINATION				
IECHNICAL COORDINATION				
3. THIS CHANGE IS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL AND IS CLASSIFIED AS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES:				
		MINOR CHANGE OF A MC	NETARY VALUE	E LESS THAN \$15,000 AND
	X	NOT REQUIRED FOR COM		
		CHANGES FOR MATTER R		
		THE ORIGINAL CONTRAC		
CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.  EMERGENCIES ARISING DURING THE COURSE OF THE WORK.				
CHANGE ORDER FOR ALTERNATES PROVIDED FOR IN THE				
ORIGINAL BIDDING WHERE THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE IN PRICE OF				
		THE CHANGE ORDER FI		
		ALTERNATE		
		CHANGE OF RELATIVEL		
		WHEN THE PLANS AND		
		THE PROJECT WAS BID A		
<u></u>		AND DOES NOT EXCEED	10% OF THE CC	NTRACT PRICE.
4. TOTAL COST (CONTRACTOR ATTACH DETAIL BREAKDOWN): \$121				
5. TIME EXTENSION IN CALENDAR DAYS:				
6. REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED BY:				
A. ARCHITECT				
B. OWNERS PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE				Bob Ratagick
7. APPROVED BY:				
CONTRA	CTOR: Jake	Marshall Service, Inc.		Patrick Curtis